

**TWELFTH PERIODIC SOIL VAPOR  
SAMPLING RESULTS  
NOVEMBER 2002**

**AT THE**

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
JET PROPULSION LABORATORY  
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA**

Contract No. N68711-01-D-6008  
Delivery Order No. 0001

Prepared for:

**Department of the Navy,  
Southwest Division  
Naval Facilities Engineering Command  
1220 Pacific Highway  
San Diego, California 92132**

Prepared by:

**GEOFON, INC.**  
22632 Golden Springs Drive, Suite 270  
Diamond Bar, California 91765

**TWELFTH PERIODIC SOIL VAPOR  
SAMPLING RESULTS  
NOVEMBER 2002**

**AT THE**

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
JET PROPULSION LABORATORY  
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA**

Contract No. N68711-01-D-6008  
Delivery Order No. 0001

Prepared by: Anthony Ford 2-13-03  
Anthony Ford  
GEOFON, Inc.  
Senior Geologist  
Date

Approved by: Asrar Faheem 2/13/03  
Asrar Faheem, R.E.A.  
GEOFON, Inc.  
Project Manager  
Date

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>FIGURES.....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>TABLES .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>2.0 SOIL VAPOR SAMPLING PROCEDURES.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>3.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>4.0 CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>5.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
<b>6.0 REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>

### **FIGURES**

- Figure 1-1: Location of Soil Vapor Monitoring Wells
- Figure 3-1: Carbon Tetrachloride Concentrations at Depth
- Figure 3-2: Freon 113 Concentrations at Depth
- Figure 3-3: Trichloroethene Concentrations at Depth
- Figure 3-4: 1,1-Dichloroethene Concentrations at Depth
- Figure 3-5: Total VOC Concentrations at Depth

### **TABLES**

- Table 1-1: Summary of Soil Vapor Sampling Frequency
- Table 2-1: Summary of Construction Details for Soil Vapor Monitoring Wells

Table 2-2: Summary of Primary Target Compounds for Analyses Performed on Soil Vapor Samples

Table 3-1 Summary of Soil Vapor Results, Twelfth Periodic Sampling Event

Table 4-1 Revised Summary of Soil Vapor Sampling Frequency

## **APPENDICES**

Appendix A: Soil Vapor Data Validation Report, Twelfth Periodic Sampling Event

Appendix B: B-1: Results of Soil Vapor Analyses

B-2: Chain-of-Custody Forms

B-3: Daily Opening, Closing, and Continuing Calibration Verification Reports

Appendix C: Summary of Soil Vapor Results, All Periodic Sampling Events Completed to Date

## **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

bgs:	Below Grade Surface
BTEX:	Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes
cc:	Cubic Centimeter
CCl <sub>4</sub> :	Carbon Tetrachloride
CDHS:	California Department of Health Services
CRWQCB:	California Regional Water Quality Control Board
%D:	Percent Difference
DCE:	Dichloroethene
Freon 11:	Trichlorofluoromethane
Freon 113:	1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane
FWENC:	Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation
GC:	Gas Chromatograph
GEOFON:	GEOFON, Incorporated
JPL:	Jet Propulsion Laboratory
NASA:	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
OD:	Outside Diameter
OU-2:	Operable Unit 2
PCE:	Tetrachloroethene
QA/QC:	Quality Assurance / Quality Control
RI:	Remedial Investigation
TCA:	Trichloroethane
TCE:	Trichloroethene
µg/L:	Micrograms per Liter
VOC:	Volatile Organic Compound

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Presented in this report are the results of the twelfth periodic soil vapor sampling event completed as part of the periodic monitoring program being conducted at the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) for Operable Unit 2 (OU-2) (on-facility soils). The purpose of this program is to monitor the horizontal and vertical distributions of volatile organic compound (VOC) vapors in the vadose zone beneath the JPL site.

On November 26, 2002, GEOFON, Inc. (GEOFON) personnel collected soil vapor samples from all available soil vapor sampling tips located in soil vapor monitoring well Nos. 4, 32, 33, 34, 36 and 37. The locations of the soil vapor monitoring wells are shown in Figure 1-1. The set of soil vapor monitoring wells included in this sampling event is based on the soil vapor sampling frequency developed for the periodic monitoring program. A summary of the soil vapor sampling frequency is presented in Table 1-1.

All soil vapor samples collected during this event were analyzed for VOCs by HP Labs in an on-site laboratory that is certified by the California Department of Health Services (CDHS). The analyses were performed in accordance with EPA Method 8021 and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (CRWQCB-LA), protocols and guidance.

Sampling procedures are described in Section 2.0, and a summary of all VOCs detected during this twelfth periodic soil vapor sampling event, including locations and depths, is contained in Section 3.0. Conclusions are provided in Section 4.0. A soil vapor data validation report for all samples analyzed during this sampling event is included in Appendix A and summarized in Section 5.0. Cited references are listed in Section 6.0. Laboratory reports for all samples analyzed, along with chain-of-custody forms, are included in Appendix B. The daily calibration verification standards for each day's sampling are also included in Appendix B. Appendix C contains a summary of soil vapor sampling results from all events conducted during the duration of this periodic monitoring program.

## **2.0 SOIL VAPOR SAMPLING PROCEDURES**

On November 26, 2002, soil vapor samples were collected and analyzed from six (6) soil vapor monitoring wells that contained at least one open sampling tip. Soil vapor samples were collected from soil vapor monitoring well Nos. 4, 32, 33, 34, 36 and 37. A description the soil vapor well construction procedures was presented in the first long-term soil vapor sampling report prepared for OU-2 (FWENC, 2000a). Soil vapor well construction details are summarized in Table 2-1 of this report. Ten (10) depth-specific vapor samples, including one (1) duplicate sample, were collected and analyzed for 25 primary target VOC compounds in accordance with CRWQCB (1997) guidance documents.

Soil vapor samples were withdrawn from the soil through the sampling tips and 1/8-inch-outside diameter (OD) Nylaflow® tubing using calibrated, gas-tight, 60-cubic-centimeter (cc) sterile syringes fitted with a three-way on-off valve. Prior to collecting the soil vapor sample, four volumes of the length of the tubing were purged to flush the tubing and fill it with in-situ soil vapor. Since each foot of tubing has an internal volume of 1 cc, the total volume purged was easily measured with the calibrated syringes. Following purging, a 60-cc soil vapor sample was collected in the syringe, the valve was turned to the off position, and the sample was immediately transferred to the on-site mobile laboratory for analysis. During sampling, neither water vapor nor condensation was observed in the transparent sampling syringes. Because the purge and sample volumes were small, a vacuum pump was not required to evacuate the tubing or to collect a soil vapor sample. To demonstrate reproducibility of results, a duplicate soil vapor sample was collected and analyzed after every ten environmental samples. The previous one in five (20%) duplicate sampling regimen was reduced during the twelfth quarter to one in ten (10%) duplicates collected.

The samples were analyzed on-site in a certified mobile laboratory (Certification No. 1667) by the CDHS to perform analyses by EPA Method 8260B and presented in the 8021 format for the parameters listed in Table 2-2. The time between sample collection and analysis was, at most, only a few minutes.

### 3.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The results from the previous remedial investigation (RI) for OU-2 (FWENC, 1999) indicated that four VOCs were more frequently detected in soil vapor samples at elevated concentrations relative to other VOCs. These four VOCs are carbon tetrachloride ( $\text{CCl}_4$ ), 1,1,2-trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113), trichloroethene (TCE), and 1,1-dichloroethene (1,1-DCE). During the twelfth periodic sampling event, Freon 113,  $\text{CCl}_4$ , and TCE were the most common chemical compounds detected in the six (6) sampled soil vapor monitoring wells.

$\text{CCl}_4$  was detected in soil vapor monitoring well No. 33 at a concentration of 17.0 micrograms per liter of vapor ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor) in the sample collected at a depth of 105 feet and at a concentration of 18.0  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor at a depth of 120-feet.  $\text{CCl}_4$  was also detected in soil vapor monitoring well No. 36 at a concentration of 5.8  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor in the sample collected at a depth of 35 feet and at a concentration of 4.1  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor at a depth of 55 feet.  $\text{CCl}_4$  was not detected above the laboratory-reporting limit in the remaining five (5) field samples analyzed during this event.

Freon 113 was detected in soil vapor monitoring well No. 32 at a concentration of 1.1  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor in the sample collected at a depth of 155 feet. Freon 113 was also detected in soil vapor monitoring well No. 33 at a concentration of 3.5  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor in the sample collected at a depth of 105 feet and at a concentration of 2.2  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor at a depth of 120 feet, and in soil vapor monitoring well No. 37 at a concentration of 1.4  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor at a depth of 185 feet. Freon 113 was not detected above the laboratory-reporting limit in the remaining five (5) field samples analyzed during this event.

TCE was detected in soil vapor monitoring well No. 4 at a concentration of 13.0  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor in the sample collected at a depth of 20 feet and at a concentration of 1.4  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor at a depth of 56 feet. TCE was also detected in soil vapor monitoring well No. 36 at a concentration of 9.1  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor in the sample collected at a depth of 35 feet and at a concentration of 8.0  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor at a depth of 55 feet. TCE was not detected above the laboratory-reporting limit in the remaining five (5) field samples analyzed during this event.

1,1-DCE was detected in soil vapor monitoring well No. 33 at a concentration of 2.4  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor in the sample collected at a depth of 105 feet and at a concentration of 1.9  $\mu\text{g/L}$ -vapor at a depth of 120 feet. 1,1-DCE was not detected above the laboratory-reporting limit in the remaining seven (7) field samples analyzed during this event.

In soil vapor monitoring well No. 4 at depths of 20 and 56 feet, concentrations of TCE reported during this event are lower than those measured during the previous sampling event performed in



September of 2002. In soil vapor monitoring well No.33 at depths of 105 and 120 feet, concentrations of CC1<sub>4</sub>, Freon 113 and 1,1-DCE reported during this event are greater than those measured during the previous sampling events performed in May and September of 2002. In soil vapor monitoring well No.36 at depths of 35 and 55 feet, concentrations of CC1<sub>4</sub> and TCE reported during this event are greater than those measured during the previous sampling events performed in May and September of 2002. All other reported concentrations of analytes during the twelfth periodic event are lower than those measured during the OU-2 RI.

In addition, toluene was also detected in soil vapor monitoring wells No.4, 33, and 36. Toluene was detected in soil vapor monitoring well No. 4 at a concentration of 1.0 µg/L-vapor at a depth of 20 feet, in soil vapor monitoring well No.33 at concentrations of 1.1 µg/L-vapor (at a depth of 85 feet), 1.2 µg/L-vapor (at a depth of 105 feet), 1.1 µg/L-vapor (at a depth of 120 feet), and in monitoring well No. 36 at concentrations of 1.4 µg/L-vapor (at a depth of 35 feet) and 1.3 µg/L-vapor (at a depth of 55 feet).

Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11), benzene, 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) and tetrachloroethene (PCE), reported in prior events, were not detected during this event. A summary of the analytical results for all samples collected during this sampling event is presented in Table 3-1, and the analytical laboratory reports are presented in Appendix B-1. Chain-of-custody forms are included in Appendix B-2. Daily Opening, Closing, and Continuing Calibration Verification Reports are included in Appendix B-3. Data from all periodic monitoring events conducted to date have been tabulated and are presented in Appendix C. Location maps for detections at depth for CC1<sub>4</sub>, Freon 113, TCE and 1,1-DCE are shown in Figures 3-1, 3-2, 3-3 and 3-4, respectively. Total VOC concentrations at depth are presented in Figure 3-5.

## **4.0 CONCLUSIONS**

The following conclusions are based on the results of the soil vapor sample laboratory analyses and the site conditions at the time of the twelfth periodic sampling event (November 2002):

- Soil vapor monitoring probe at the depth of 118 feet in well No. 34 was plugged during this sampling event.
- Concentrations of  $CCl_4$ , Freon 113, 1,1-DCE, and TCE detected during this sampling event in soil vapor monitoring wells No. 32, 33, and 37 were slightly greater than those measured during the previous sampling event and lower than those measured during the OU-2 RI.
- In addition to the above VOCs, toluene was also detected in soil vapor monitoring wells No. 4, 33, and 36 at very low concentrations.
- Based on the results of soil vapor samples collected during this sampling event, VOC concentrations generally continue to decline throughout the site. Compared to the previous sampling event (September 2002), a significant decrease in TCE concentration was noted during this sampling event at depths of 20 feet and 56 feet in soil vapor monitoring well No. 4.

## **5.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL**

This section briefly summarizes the quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) procedures followed during the twelfth periodic soil vapor-sampling event. Analytical data reports for all soil vapor samples were sent to Laboratory Data Consultants, located in Carlsbad, California, for independent data evaluation. All data was usable as qualified. The validated data reports are presented in Appendix A - Soil Vapor Data Validation Reports.

All sample analyses were performed using an external, three-point standard calibration method. For most target analytes, both detectors on the gas chromatograph (GC) were calibrated over a range equivalent of 5.0 to 200.0 µg/L of analyte in soil vapor. Analytical system performance was verified at the beginning of each analytical day with an "opening standard" and a "closing standard" after the last environmental sample analysis for the day. A "continuing standard" was analyzed after the tenth environmental sample run that day. If ten or fewer samples were analyzed during the day, the closing standard substituted for the continuing standard. Results of daily opening, closing, and continuing (if applicable) standards are presented in Appendix B-3.

During each analytical day, the environmental sample analyses were bracketed by check standards, which verified acceptable system performance for the analytes listed in the daily calibration data summary tables (Appendix B-3). The percent difference (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were less than or equal to 20 percent for selected compounds and less than or equal to 15 percent for all other compounds (see Appendix B-3).

Field blanks of ambient air from inside the field laboratory trailer were analyzed immediately after the opening verification standard and were clean in all cases. No matrix spikes or laboratory replicates were required.

Two surrogate compounds (1,4-difluorobenzene and 4-bromofluorobenzene) were injected into the GC along with the environmental samples as a QA/QC check on recovery limits. In accordance with RWQCB (1997) protocols, surrogate recoveries should be in the range of 75 to 125 percent. All surrogate recoveries obtained during this sampling event satisfied this criteria by a wide margin, usually within a recovery range of 85 to 115 percent.

No sample analysis data obtained during this sampling event were rejected as unusable. Overall, the assessment of soil vapor and corresponding control sample data indicate that data quality objectives were achieved in terms of precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, and completeness for all analytes sampled.

## 6.0 REFERENCES

- Battelle. 2001. *Technical Memorandum, Design, Construction, Operation, Optimization, and Monitoring Recommendations for the Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) System, Operable Unit 2 (OU-2), Jet Propulsion Laboratory*. October
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 1999. *Final Remedial Investigation Report for Operable Unit 2: Potential On-Site Contaminant Source Areas*. Volume 1. November.
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 2000a. *First Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, October 1998*. February.
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 2000b. *Second Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, October 1998*. February.
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 2000c. *Third Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, October 1998*. March.
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 2000d. *Fourth Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, January 2000*. April.
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 2000e. *Fifth Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, June 2000*. September.
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2001a. *Sixth Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, January 2001*. February.
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2001b. *Seventh Periodic Soil Vapor Sampling Results, July 2001*. November
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2001c. *Eighth Periodic Soil Vapor Sampling Results, November 2001*. January
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2002a. *Ninth Periodic Soil Vapor Sampling Results, February 2002*. April
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2002b. *Tenth Periodic Soil Vapor Sampling Results, May 2002*. July
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2003. *Eleventh Periodic Soil Vapor Sampling Results, September 2002*. February
- CRWQCB (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region). 1997. *Interim Guidance for Active Soil Gas Investigation*. February 25.

